



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, MN 55402

FEB 1 2005

In re Application of Madhav DATTA, et al.
Application no. 09/961,036
Filed: 21 September 2001
Attorney Docket No. 884.523US1
Title: Dual-Stack, Ball-Limiting Metallurgy and
Method of Making the Same

: DECISION ON PETITION
: TO WITHDRAW OBJECTION
: OF DRAWINGS


This is a decision on the petition filed under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 on 16 December 2004, to withdraw the objection to the drawings.

The petition is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated below.

37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) states,

“(a) The drawing in a nonprovisional application must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. However, conventional features disclosed in the description and claims, where their detailed illustration is not essential for a proper understanding of the invention, should be illustrated in the drawing in the form of a graphical drawing symbol or a labeled representation (e.g., a labeled rectangular box).”

Given Applicant's admission in the petition that the connection of the bond pad to the metallization is conventional, it is submitted that the requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a) has been fulfilled. Accordingly, the objection to the drawings is withdrawn.



Sharon A. Gibson, Director

Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors, Electrical and
Optical Systems and Components